1	The opportunity for an appeal and adjudicative proceeding before		
2	this Board is provided after the wastewater permit is issued or		
3	modified.		
4	ORDER		
5	Respondents' Motions for Dismissal due to lack of jurisdiction		
6	are GRANTED. The appeal is DISMISSED.		
7	DONE this day of July, 1991.		
8	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD		
9	2 Henry		
10	ANNETTE S. McGEE, Presiding		
11 12	2/2018		
12 13	HAROLD S. ZIMMERMAN, Chairman		
14	Griden & C		
15	WUDITH A. BENDOR, Member		
16			
17			
18	0182B		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26	ADDED CONTINUE DECREESA		
27	ORDER GRANTING DISMISSAL PCHB No. 90-211 (3)		

1 2

3

4

5

6

8

9 10

11 12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

Memorandum for Dismissal. Appellant/Intervenors Mae Blasdel and CEFWAL filed a Memorandum

and documents in opposition on May 1, 1991.

The City of Pasco filed a Reply Memorandum on May 3, 1991.

Having considered the foregoing, the Board issues this opinion:

Also, on April 19, 1991, respondent Ecology filed a Motion and

On October 1, 1990, Ecology approved the City's Engineering Report for its wastewater treatment plant. The plant is required to have a state waste disposal permit under Chapt. 90.48 RCW. engineering report, final plans and specifications are predicate actions required, before Ecology can approve the permit issuance or modification. At the time of the motion filings, the plans and specifications had not yet been approved.

Appellants contend that the Pollution Control Hearings Board has jurisdiction over this appeal. We conclude that the Board does not have jurisdiction.

The Board is a quasi-judicial entity, created by statute. 43.21B.110 recites this Board's jurisdiction. Appellants contend that this appeal conforms to Subection 110(1)(e) which states:

Any other decision by the department or an air authority which pursuant to law must be decided as an adjudicative proceeding under chapter 34.05 RCW.

We conclude that Ecology's approval of the engineering report is not an action which requires an adjudicative proceeding. We also conclude that this jurisdiction is not necessarily implied.

1	BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON		
2	1 - 1		
3	MAE BLASDEL,		
4	Appellant,	PCHB No. 90-211	
	and		
5	CONCERNED EAST FOSTER WELLS		
6	AREA LANDOWNERS (CEFWAL),		
7	Appellant-Intervenor,		
8	v.	ORDER GRANTING DISMISSAL	
9	STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY and CITY OF PASCO,)	
10	·		
11	Respondent.		
12			
_	This matter concerns an appeal filed November 13, 1990,		
13	contesting the Department of Ecology's (Ecology) approval of an engineering report for the City of Pasco's (City) wastewater treatment plant.		
14			
15			
16			
17	A Pre-Hearing Conference was held on April 9, 1991.		
18	On April 17, 1991, intervention was granted to a group of concerned citizens, who collectively called themselves Concerned East Foster Wells Area Landowners (CEFWAL). On April 19, 1991, respondent City of Pasco filed a Motion to		
19			
20			
21			
22	Dismiss with supporting documents.		
23			
24			

ORDER GRANTING DISMISSAL PCHB No. 90-211

25

26

27

(1)